



Fitch Places Turkish Banks' Ratings on Watch Negative

Link to Fitch Ratings' Report(s): Fitch Places Turkish Banks' Ratings on Watch Negative (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10033168>)

Fitch Ratings-London-01 June 2018: Fitch Ratings has placed 25 Turkish banks' Long-Term Foreign-Currency (FC) Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) and 25 banks' Viability Ratings (VRs) on Rating Watch Negative (RWN). A full list of rating actions is available at www.fitchratings.com or at the link above.

The RWNs placed on all Turkish banks' VRs reflect risks to their performance, asset quality, capitalisation and, in most cases, liquidity and funding profiles following a recent period of increased market volatility. This has seen the Turkish lira depreciate against the US dollar-euro basket by about 20% this year with the authorities responding by raising the key policy rate by 300bp to 16.5%.

The RWNs on the IDRs of state-owned commercial banks and two development banks additionally consider the greater potential for stress in Turkey's external finances, which could hinder the authorities' ability to provide support in FC.

The RWNs on foreign-owned banks' 'BBB-' IDRs reflect Fitch's intention to reassess whether it remains appropriate to rate these institutions above the sovereign (BB+/Stable), given potential intervention in the banking system if there is marked deterioration in Turkey's external finances.

Fitch will resolve the RWNs based on both an analysis of the impact on banks' credit profiles of the deterioration in the operating environment that has already been observed and the extent to which the operating environment deteriorates further or stabilises in the near term. Unless there is further marked worsening of economic and financial market conditions, any downgrades are likely to be limited to one notch, in most cases. Fitch expects to resolve the RWNs in the next six months.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

VRs OF ALL BANKS

Turkish banks' VRs, which range from 'bb+' to 'b', reflect their exposure to the relatively high-risk Turkish operating environment, but also their largely satisfactory financial metrics, reflected in moderate non-performing loans (NPLs), solid

profitability, reasonable capitalisation and acceptable liquidity buffers. Banks rated 'bb+' or 'bb' have stronger franchises and in most cases longer records of stable and sound performance. Banks rated 'bb-', 'b+' or 'b' have narrower franchises and, to varying degrees, have exhibited greater volatility in their results.

The RWNs on all 25 Turkish banks' VRs reflect increased risks to their asset quality, profitability and capitalisation given heightened operating environment pressures resulting from currency and interest-rate volatility. It also considers risks to most banks' funding and liquidity profiles given the reliance, to varying degrees, on external FC wholesale funding and pressure on lira funding costs.

Fitch believes that immediate risks to Turkey's macroeconomic and financial stability have reduced following the increase in the policy rate, the announced simplification of the monetary policy framework and the resulting moderate recent recovery of the exchange rate. However, the still significant fall in the exchange rate, the rise in the interest rate (and the stated readiness of the Turkish authorities to raise this further if needed) and the negative impact of both of these on economic growth are likely to result in deterioration in banks' financial metrics. Risks to financial stability also remain significant, given the possibility that policy predictability will come under pressure after June's presidential elections, and in view of Turkey's need to meet a large external financing requirement in tougher global financial conditions.

Asset-quality risks for banks have increased due to generally high FC lending (equal to about 37% of sector loans) and the potential impact of local-currency depreciation on often weakly hedged borrowers' ability to service their debt. Higher interest rates (which could affect lira borrowers' debt service capacity) and weaker economic growth could also weigh on loan performance. Fitch had expected GDP growth of 4.1% in 2018 and 4.7% in 2019, but anticipates revising downwards these forecasts following the recent volatility. Exposures to the construction and energy sectors and high borrower concentrations are also significant sources of risks at many banks.

NPL ratios have in most cases remained broadly stable in recent quarters, but the emergence of some big-ticket problematic exposures (notably on the books of the largest banks in the sector) and growth in group 2 watch list loans (partly explained by banks' transition to IFRS 9 in 1Q18) suggest the potential for future increases in NPLs. The sector NPL ratio (loans overdue by more than 90 days) was 2.9% at end-1Q18, with reserve coverage of 119%.

Fitch expects sector profitability to moderately weaken in 2018 due to higher funding costs (following the policy rate rise), slower credit growth (reflecting the reduction in Credit Guarantee Fund (CGF) stimulus, the snap elections and lower

GDP growth) and higher impairment charges. Performance could deteriorate more significantly in case of a marked weakening of asset quality. The sector return on average equity was a solid 14.7% in 2017 and 15.9% in 1Q18, supported by CGF-driven loan growth (21% for the sector in 2017) solid margins and manageable impairment charges.

Capital ratios are under pressure from lira depreciation (which inflates FC risk-weighted assets) and higher interest rates (which will result in negative revaluations of government bond portfolios). Potential asset-quality deterioration also represents a risk to banks' capital positions, but strong pre-impairment profit provides most banks with a considerable buffer to absorb credit losses through income statements.

The sector average total capital ratio was 16.1% at end-1Q18. Capital ratios increased in 2017 on the back of solid internal capital generation, capital relief from regulatory measures (including lower risk weightings on CGF loans and FC reserve requirements) and due to Tier 2 capital notes issuance at some banks. Capital comprises predominantly common equity Tier 1 at most banks, although increased issuance of FC Tier 2 instruments provides a partial hedge against Turkish lira depreciation in respect to total capital ratios.

Turkish banks' refinancing risks have increased as a result of recent market volatility and tightening global financing conditions driven mainly by an increase in US dollar interest rates. However, the banks have a proven record of accessing external funding even in adverse market conditions, and typically have access to sufficient FC liquidity to cover their FC wholesale funding liabilities maturing within a year. Risks are less pronounced at most foreign-owned banks, which can rely on FC liquidity support from shareholders, and some smaller lenders which have limited FC wholesale funding.

The sector loans/deposits ratio was a high 127% at end-1Q18, and banks' external debt stood at USD186 billion, of which USD103 billion matured within 12 months. However, as some of the latter represents more stable funding (for example, from parent banks, subsidiary banks or offshore Turkish corporate entities), Fitch estimates banks' external debt servicing requirement over one year, in case of a complete market shutdown, at about USD55 billion - USD60 billion. Available liquidity of about USD90 billion (comprising mainly FC placed with the central bank under the reserve option mechanism (ROM) and short-term currency swaps with foreign counterparties) provides solid coverage. However, a scenario in which Turkish borrowers had to pay down foreign debt would result in a reduction in central bank FC reserves and add to pressures on the exchange rate, interest rates and economic growth.

IDRS, SENIOR DEBT RATINGS AND NATIONAL RATINGS DRIVEN BY VRS

Akbank T.A.S. (Long-Term FC IDR BB+/RWN; VR bb+/RWN)

Turkiye Is Bankasi A.S. (Isbank; BB+/RWN, bb+/RWN)

Anadolubank A.S. (BB-/RWN, bb-/RWN)

Fibabanka Anonim Sirketi (BB-/RWN, bb-/RWN)

Odea Bank A.S. (BB-/RWN, bb-/RWN)

Arap Turk Bankasi A.S. (ATB, BB-/RWN, bb-/RWN)

Sekerbank T.A.S. (B+/RWN, b+/RWN)

The RWNs on the Long-Term IDRs, senior debt ratings and National Ratings of these banks reflect the RWNs on their VRs.

The Support Rating Floors (SRFs) of Akbank and Isbank have been affirmed at 'B+', and their Support Ratings at '4', reflecting Fitch's view that there is a limited probability of support for these banks. This takes into account the banks' systemic importance and solid market shares, but also the sovereign's modest ability to provide support in FC considering its only moderate level of FC reserves.

The 'No Floor' SRFs and '5' Support Ratings of the other five banks listed above reflect Fitch's view that support cannot be relied upon given their limited market shares and systemic importance.

IDRS, SUPPORT RATINGS, SENIOR DEBT RATINGS AND NATIONAL RATINGS OF STATE-OWNED COMMERCIAL BANKS AND DEVELOPMENT BANKS

T.C. Ziraat Bankasi A.S. (Ziraat; LT FC IDR BB+/RWN; VR bb+/RWN)

Turkiye Halk Bankasi A.S. (Halk; BB+/RWN, bb+/RWN)

Turkiye Vakiflar Bankasi T.A.O. (Vakifbank; BB+/RWN, bb+/RWN)

Vakif Katilim Bankasi AS (BB+/RWN, b+/RWN)

Turkiye Sinai Kalkinma Bankasi A.S. (TSKB; BB+/RWN, bb/RWN)

Turkiye Ihracat Kredi Bankasi A.S. (Turk Eximbank; BB+/RWN)

Turkiye Kalkinma Bankasi A.S. (TKB; BB+/Stable)

The IDRs, Support Ratings, SRFs, FC senior debt ratings and National Ratings of state-owned commercial banks (Ziraat, Halk, Vakifbank and Vakif Katilim) and development banks (TKB, Turk Eximbank, TSKB) are aligned with those of the Turkish sovereign. This reflects Fitch's view of the government's likely high propensity to support the banks, in case of need, based on their majority state ownership (except for TSKB), systemic importance and significant state-related funding (state-owned commercial banks) and policy roles (Ziraat, Halk and the development banks).

The RWNs on the Long-Term FC IDRs and FC senior debt ratings of these banks (with the exception of TKB) reflect increased risks to the authorities' ability to provide support in FC given the greater potential for stress in Turkey's external finances. At end-April 2018, the central bank's FC reserves were a moderate USD112 billion, while net reserves (adjusted for USD63 billion of banks' placements with the central bank, including under the ROM) were lower.

The ratings of TKB have been affirmed, rather than placed on RWN, due to its small size and the fact that nearly all of its funding is guaranteed by the Turkish treasury.

The RWN on the ratings of Halk also continue to reflect uncertainty surrounding the sufficiency and timeliness of state support in case a material fine or other punitive measures being imposed on the bank as a result of the U.S. investigation which resulted in the conviction of its deputy general manager for violating U.S. sanctions.

The affirmation of the Local-Currency IDRs and National Ratings of the state-owned commercial banks and the development banks reflects Fitch's view that there is unlikely to be any material weakening in the ability or propensity of the authorities to support these entities in local currency.

IDRs, SUPPORT RATINGS, SENIOR DEBT RATINGS AND NATIONAL RATINGS OF FOREIGN-OWNED BANKS

Türkiye Garanti Bankası A.S. (Garanti; LT FC IDR BBB-/RWN; VR bb+/RWN)

Yapı ve Kredi Bankası A.S. (YKB; BBB-/RWN; bb+/RWN)

Türk Ekonomi Bankası A.S. (TEB; BBB-/RWN, bb+/RWN)

QNB Finansbank A.S. (BBB-/RWN, bb/RWN)

ING Bank A.S. (BBB-/RWN, bb/RWN)

Kuveyt Türk Katılım Bankası A.S. (Kuveyt Türk; BBB-/RWN, bb-/RWN)

Türkiye Finans Katılım Bankası A.S. (TFKB; BBB-/RWN, bb-/RWN)

Alternatifbank A.S. (ABank; BBB-/RWN, b+/RWN)

Burgan Bank A.S. (BBB-/RWN, b+/RWN)

ICBC Turkey Bank A.S. (BBB-/RWN, b+/RWN)

BankPozitif Kredi ve Kalkınma Bankası A.S. (BBB-/RWN, b+/RWN)

Türkland Bank A.S. (B+/Stable, b/RWN)

The IDRs, Support Ratings, FC senior debt ratings and National Ratings of these banks are driven by potential support from their shareholders. This reflects Fitch's view that the banks constitute strategically important subsidiaries, to varying degrees, for their parents. It also considers ownership stakes, integration, the

subsidiaries' roles within their respective groups and, for some, common branding.

The Long-Term FC IDRs and FC senior debt ratings of these banks (with the exception of Turkland) are one notch above the Turkish sovereign. The RWNs on these ratings and on the banks' Support Ratings reflects Fitch's intention to reassess whether it remains appropriate to rate these institutions above the sovereign, given potential intervention in the banking system in case of a marked deterioration in Turkey's external finances.

In Fitch's view, the risk of capital controls being imposed in Turkey remains remote given Turkey's high dependence on foreign capital and strong incentive to retain market access, the eventually orthodox policy response to recent market pressures, statements by senior Turkish officials (including Deputy Prime Minister Mehmet Simsek) that such controls would not be introduced and reduced immediate risks to macroeconomic and financial stability.

However, in case of a marked deterioration in Turkey's external finances, some form of intervention in the banking system that may impede banks' ability to service their FC obligations cannot be ruled out, in Fitch's view. While such intervention is a remote risk, the agency will reconsider whether it is sufficiently remote to be regarded as less likely than a sovereign default, as is implied by its ratings.

The affirmation of the Local-Currency IDRs and National Ratings of the foreign-owned banks reflects Fitch's view that there is less likely to be any form of government intervention that would impede their ability to service obligations in local currency. The banks' 'BBB-' Long-Term Local-Currency IDRs are at the same level as, rather than above, the sovereign Local-Currency IDR.

Turkland's support-driven Long-Term IDRs (BB-/Stable) have been affirmed as these are below the sovereign ratings.

SUBORDINATED DEBT RATINGS

The subordinated notes ratings of YKB, Garanti, ABank and Kuveyt Turk are notched down once from their support-driven IDRs, as Fitch believes support from foreign shareholders would likely be available to support these obligations. The subordinated notes ratings of Isbank, Akbank, Vakifbank, Sekerbank, Odea, Fiba, and TSKB are notched down once from their VRs.

The notching in each case includes one notch for loss severity and zero notches for non-performance risk (relative to the anchor ratings). The RWNs on these ratings reflect the RWNs on the anchor ratings.

GUARANTEED DEBT RATING

Alternatifbank's guaranteed debt rating of 'A' is equalised with the rating of the guarantor, The Commercial Bank (P.Q.S.C.) (A/Negative).

SUBSIDIARY RATINGS

Akbank AG (Long-Term FC IDR BB+/RWN)
Ak Yatirim Menkul Degerler AS (BB+/RWN)
Ak Finansal Kiralama A.S. (BB+/RWN)
Akbank AG (BB+/RWN)
Alternatif Finansal Kiralama AS (BBB-/RWN)
Garanti Faktoring A.S. (BBB-/RWN)
Garanti Finansal Kiralama A.S. (BBB-/RWN)
Is Faktoring A.S. (BB+/RWN)
Is Finansal Kiralama A.S. (BB+/RWN)
Is Yatirim Menkul Degerler A.S. (AA+(tur)/RWN)
QNB Finans Finansal Kiralama A.S. (BBB-/RWN)
Yapi Kredi Finansal Kiralama A.O. (BBB-/RWN)
Yapi Kredi Faktoring A.S. (BBB-/RWN)
Yapi Kredi Yatirim Menkul Degerler A.S. (BBB-/RWN)
Ziraat Katilim Bankasi A.S. (BB+/RWN)

The ratings of the subsidiaries of Akbank, Garanti, Isbank, YKB, Ziraat, Alternatifbank, and QNB Finansbank are equalised with those of their respective parents, reflecting their strategic importance to, and integration with, their respective groups. Consequently, the RWNs on their ratings mirror those on their parents and indicate a possible weakening of their parents' ability to provide support, in case of need.

Akbank AG's Deposit Ratings are aligned with the bank's IDRs. In Fitch's opinion, debt buffers do not afford any obvious incremental probability of default benefit over and above the support benefit factored into the bank's IDRs.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

VRs OF ALL BANKS, AND IDRS, SENIOR DEBT RATINGS AND NATIONAL RATINGS DRIVEN BY VRS

Fitch will resolve the RWNs on all banks' VRs, and on the IDRs, senior debt ratings and National Ratings of Akbank, Isbank, Anadolubank, ATB, Fibabanka, Odea and Sekerbank, based on an analysis of the impact of the deterioration in the operating environment on individual banks' performance, asset quality, capitalisation and liquidity and funding profiles and the extent to which the operating environment deteriorates further or stabilises in the near term, as reflected in particular in the lira exchange rate, domestic interest rates, economic growth prospects and external

funding market access.

Ratings are more likely to be downgraded at banks that have experienced significant increases in NPLs or in problematic loans not categorised as NPLs, have higher proportions of FC loans and FC wholesale market funding, or have suffered a more critical reduction in their capital ratios without this being offset by shareholder support. Already-observed deterioration of asset-quality ratios at Turkland and Odea Bank, and of capital ratios at Fibabanka and Alternatifbank, increases risks for these banks' VRs.

Ratings could stabilise at their current levels where Fitch views asset quality, capital ratios and foreign currency liquidity as less exposed to the deterioration in the operating environment, in particular if economic conditions stabilise in the near term.

IDRs, SUPPORT RATINGS, SUPPORT RATING FLOOR, SENIOR DEBT RATINGS AND NATIONAL RATINGS OF STATE-OWNED COMMERCIAL BANKS AND DEVELOPMENT BANKS

The Long-Term FC IDRs, FC senior debt ratings and SRFs of Ziraat, Halk, Vakifbank, Vakif Katilim, TSKB and Turk Eximbank could be downgraded if Fitch concludes that the greater potential for stress in Turkey's external finances is sufficient to materially reduce the reliability of support for these banks in FC from the Turkish authorities.

The ratings of these banks, and of TKB, could also be downgraded if the Turkish sovereign is downgraded or if Fitch believes the sovereign's propensity to support the banks has reduced. The introduction of bank resolution legislation in Turkey aimed at limiting sovereign support for failed banks could also negatively affect Fitch's view of support, but we do not expect this in the short term.

Halk's support-driven ratings could also be downgraded if the bank does not receive sufficient and timely support to offset the impact of any fine or other punitive measures imposed as a result of the case in the US.

IDRs, SUPPORT RATINGS, SENIOR DEBT RATINGS AND NATIONAL RATINGS OF FOREIGN-OWNED BANKS

The FC IDRs, FC senior debt ratings and Support Ratings of foreign-owned banks rated 'BBB-' could be downgraded if Fitch concludes that it is no longer appropriate to rate these institutions above the sovereign, given potential intervention in the banking system in case of a marked deterioration in Turkey's external finances. These ratings are also sensitive to a downgrade of Turkey's Country Ceiling.

A sharp reduction in a parent bank's ability or propensity to support its Turkish

subsidiary could also result in a downgrade.

SUBORDINATED DEBT RATINGS

Subordinated debt ratings are primarily sensitive to changes in anchor ratings, namely the VRs of Isbank, Akbank, Vakifbank, Sekerbank, Odea, Fiba, and TSKB, and the Long-Term IDRs of YKB, Garanti, Kuveyt Turk and ABank.

The ratings are also sensitive to a change in notching from the anchor ratings due to a revision in Fitch's assessment of the probability of the notes' non-performance risk or of loss severity in case of non-performance.

GUARANTEED DEBT RATING

Alternatifbank's guaranteed debt rating of 'A' is sensitive to a change in The Commercial Bank's (P.Q.S.C.) Long-Term IDR (A/Negative).

SUBSIDIARY RATINGS

The ratings of these entities are sensitive to changes in the Long-Term IDRs of their parents.

A full list of rating actions is available on www.fitchratings.com or by clicking the link above.

Contact:

Primary Analysts

Lindsey Liddell (Garanti, Akbank, TEB, QNB Finansbank, Alternatifbank, Turkiye Finans Katilim Bankasi, Kuveyt Turk Katilim Bankasi, ING Bank A.S. Denizbank, Ziraat, Halk, Sekerbank, Anadolubank, Akbank AG, Vakif Katilim)

Director

+44 20 3530 1008

Fitch Ratings Limited

30 North Colonnade

London E14 5GN

Huseyin Sevinc (Isbank, Vakifbank, TSKB, TKB, Turk Eximbank)

Associate Director

+44 20 3530 1027

Fitch Ratings Limited

30 North Colonnade

London E14 5GN

Ahmet Kilinc (YKB, Burgan Bank, Ziraat Katilim, Odea Bank)

Associate Director

+44 20 3530 1272
Fitch Ratings Limited
30 North Colonnade
London E14 5GN

Aslan Tavitov (ICBC Turkey, Fiba, Turkland Bank, BankPozitif, Ak Finansal Kiralama, Ak Yatirim),
Director
+44 20 3530 1788
Fitch Ratings Limited
30 North Colonnade
London E14 5GN

Behruz Ismailov (Garanti Faktoring A.S., Garanti Finansal Kiralama A.S., Is Faktoring A.S., Is Finansal Kiralama A.S., Is Yatirim Menkul Degerler A.S., QNB Finans Finansal Kiralama A.S., Alternatif Finansal Kiralama A.S.)
Director
+49 69 76 80 76 116
Fitch Deutschland GmbH
Neue Mainzer Strasse 46-50
60311 Frankfurt am Main

Benjamin Schmidt (Yapi Faktoring, Yapi Yatirim, Yapi Leasing)
Director
+49 69 76 80 76 115
Fitch Deutschland GmbH
Neue Mainzer Strasse 46-50
60311 Frankfurt am Main

Mahin Dissanayake (Arap Turk)
Senior Director
+44 20 3530 1618
Fitch Ratings Limited
30 North Colonnade
London E14 5GN

Secondary Analysts
Huseyin Sevinc (YKB, Ziraat, Halk, Fiba, Arap Turk, BankPozitif, Turkland, ICBC Turkey, Is Faktoring, Is Finansal Kiralama, Garanti Faktoring, Garanti Finansal Kiralama)
Associate Director
+44 20 3530 1027

Ahmet Kilinc (Akbank, Garanti, Isbank, Anadolubank, Sekerbank, Alternatifbank, Kuveyt Turk, QNB Finansbank, Denizbank, Akbank AG, Ak Finansal Kiralama, AK Yatirim, Yapi Kredi Finansal Kiralama, Yapi Kredi Factoring, Yapi Kredi Menkul Degerler)

Associate Director

+44 20 3530 1272

Aurelien Mourgues (Vakifbank, TSKB, Turk Eximbank, TKB, Burgan Bank, TEB, Turkiye Finans Katilim Bankasi, Vakif Katilim, Ziraat Katilim, QNB Finans Finansal Kiralama A.S., Alternatif Finansal Kiralama A.S.)

Associate Director

+44 20 3530 1855

Committee Chairperson

Alexander Danilov

Senior Director

+7 495 956 2408

Media Relations: Peter Fitzpatrick, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 1103, Email: peter.fitzpatrick@fitchratings.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Bank Rating Criteria (pub. 23 Mar 2018)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10023430>)

Non-Bank Financial Institutions Rating Criteria (pub. 22 Mar 2018)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10023420>)

Additional Disclosures

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/dodd-frank-disclosure/10033057>)

Solicitation Status (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/pr/10033057#solicitation>)

Endorsement Policy (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S

CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2018 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts,

ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form

NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Solicitation Status

Fitch Ratings was paid to determine each credit rating announced in this Rating Action Commentary (RAC) by the obligator being rated or the issuer, underwriter, depositor, or sponsor of the security or money market instrument being rated, except for the following:

Fitch Updates Terms of Use & Privacy Policy

We have updated our Terms of Use and Privacy Policies which cover all of Fitch Group's websites. Learn more (<https://www.thefitchgroup.com/site/policies>).

Endorsement Policy - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.